

UK NEQAS for H&I Scheme 2B - Crossmatching by Flow Cytometry

T-CELL AND B-CELL FLOW CYTOMETRY RESULTS OF SAMPLE 2B04/2017 (COMPARED TO LOCAL NEGATIVE CONTROL)

DISPATCHED ON 14TH MARCH 2017

HLA PHENOTYPE OF BLOOD DONOR: HLA-A1, A2; B8, B44; Cw5, Cw7; DR11, DR13; DQ6, DQ7

	Summary of Results							
	T-cells				B-cells			
Total tested	57	57	54	47	49	50	45	43
Positive	0	55	50	15	45	46	39	2
Negative	57	2	4	32	4	4	6	41
NT/Equivocal	4	4	7	14	6	5	10	12
% Positive	0.0%	96.5%	92.6%	31.9%	91.8%	92.0%	86.7%	4.7%
% Negative	100.0%	3.5%	7.4%	68.1%	8.2%	8.0%	13.3%	95.3%

Consensus	Negative	Positive	Positive	Not Assessed	Positive	Positive	Positive	Negative
	DR4 DR9	A2 A28 A9	B44	A11	DR4 DR9	A2 A28 A9	B44	A11

Lab No.	T-cells				B-cells				Date Received	Date Tested	Viability (%)	Comments
	Serum 1	Serum 2	Serum 3	Serum 4	Serum 1	Serum 2	Serum 3	Serum 4				
9	Negative	Positive	Positive	Equivocal	Positive	Positive	Positive	Negative	15-Mar	16-Mar	95%	
11	Negative	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Negative	15-Mar	16-Mar		
14	Negative	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Negative	15-Mar	15-Mar		
15	Negative	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Negative	15-Mar	15-Mar		
19	Negative	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	15-Mar	16-Mar	90	
20	Negative	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	15-Mar	16-Mar	95%	
24	Negative	Positive	Positive	Equivocal	Positive	Positive	Positive	Equivocal	16-Mar	17-Mar	95%	
25	Negative	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Equivocal	15-Mar	15-Mar		
28	Equivocal	Positive	Positive	Equivocal	Positive	Positive	Positive	Negative	13-Mar	15-Mar	99%	
35	Negative	Positive	Positive	Negative	Positive	Positive	Positive	Negative	15-Mar	16-Mar	90%	
38	Negative	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Negative	15-Mar	15-Mar	90%	
39	Negative	Positive	Positive	Negative	Positive	Positive	Positive	Negative	15-Mar	15-Mar		
41	Negative	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Negative	15-Mar	15-Mar		
42	Negative	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Equivocal	15-Mar	15-Mar	90%	
45	Negative	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Negative	15-Mar	15-Mar	95	
48	Negative	Positive	Positive	Negative	Positive	Positive	Positive	Negative	15-Mar	15-Mar		
51	Negative	Positive	Positive	Negative	Positive	Positive	Positive	Negative	15-Mar	16-Mar		
112	Negative	Positive	Equivocal	Negative	Positive	Positive	Equivocal	Negative	17-Mar	17-Mar	95	Serum 3 equivocal, not concord between LT and LB reading
115	Negative	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Negative	15-Mar	17-Mar		
117	Negative	Positive	Positive	Negative	Positive	Positive	Positive	Negative	16-Mar		95	
118	Negative	Positive	Positive	Negative	Positive	Positive	Positive	Negative	15-Mar		90	
119	Negative	Positive	Positive	Negative	NT	NT	NT	NT			90	
120	Negative	Positive	Equivocal	Negative	Positive	Positive	Equivocal	Negative	15-Mar	15-Mar	100	
122	Negative	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Negative	15-Mar	16-Mar	75	
126	Negative	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Negative	15-Mar	15-Mar	50-90	Cells were clumped
130	Negative	Positive	Positive	Negative	Positive	Positive	Positive	Negative	15-Mar	16-Mar	100	Low cell viability for B-cells
138	Negative	Positive	Positive	Negative	Positive	Positive	Positive	Negative	15-Mar	15-Mar	90	
139	Negative	Positive	Positive	Negative	Positive	Negative	Negative	Negative	17-Mar	17-Mar	0.8	
143	Negative	Positive	Positive	Negative	Positive	Negative	Negative	Negative	16-Mar	17-Mar	87	Second time in row the cells were clumped on arrival.
144	Negative	Positive	Positive	Negative	Positive	Positive	Positive	Negative	15-Mar	16-Mar		
145	Negative	Positive	Positive	Negative	Positive	Positive	Positive	Negative	22-Mar	22-Mar	0.94	
147	Negative	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	16-Mar	16-Mar	100	
149	Negative	Positive	Positive	Negative	Positive	Positive	Positive	Negative	16-Mar	16-Mar	0.79	Clot and a lot of platelets were present in this sample
154	Negative	Positive	Positive	Equivocal	Positive	Positive	Positive	Negative	16-Mar	16-Mar		
157	Negative	Positive	Positive	Negative	Positive	Positive	Positive	Negative	16-Mar	16-Mar	95	
159	Negative	Positive	Positive	Equivocal	Positive	Positive	Positive	Negative	15-Mar	16-Mar	99	Serum 4 - There is a discrepancy between T and B-cells
160	Negative	Positive	Positive	Negative	Positive	Positive	Positive	Negative	15-Mar	16-Mar		Cell suspension clotted. Low number of LyT and LyB
167	Negative	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Positive	Negative	15-Mar	16-Mar	98	
169	Negative	Positive	Negative	Negative	Positive	Negative	Negative	Negative	15-Mar	16-Mar	97	
176	Negative	Positive	Positive	Equivocal	Positive	Positive	Positive	NT	15-Mar	16-Mar		Poor samples quality. Low number of cells
190	Negative	Positive	Positive	NT	Positive	Positive	Positive	NT	16-Mar	16-Mar	79	Not enough cells in sample
191	Negative	Positive	Negative	Negative	Positive	Positive	Negative	16-Mar	17-Mar	75	Samples delivered late	
193	Negative	Positive	Positive	Equivocal	Positive	Positive	Positive	Negative	15-Mar	15-Mar		
194	Negative	Equivocal	Positive	Negative	Positive	Positive	Positive	Equivocal	15-Mar	16-Mar	98	
195	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	17-Mar	17-Mar	98	Same problem as 2B03
201	Negative	Positive	Negative	Positive	Positive	Negative	Positive	Negative	15-Mar	16-Mar	98	
209	Negative	Positive	Positive	Equivocal	Positive	Positive	Positive	Negative	14-Mar	16-Mar	90	
218	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT			As discussed, we were unable to resuspend the cell pellet for this trial. We attempted agitating and warming the pellet. We were advised to return a report of not tested for this trial.	
220	Negative	Positive	Positive	Negative	Positive	Positive	Positive	Negative	15-Mar	16-Mar	93	
235	Negative	Positive	NT	Negative	Positive	NT	Negative	NT	16-Mar	16-Mar	80	
238	Negative	Positive	Positive	Negative	NT	NT	NT	NT	15-Mar	16-Mar	60	Not enough events for B cells (only 200 events). Protocol needs at least 500 events.
240	Negative	Positive	Positive	Negative	Negative	Positive	Positive	Negative	17-Mar	17-Mar		
246	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	15-Mar	15-Mar	75	Donor cell sample contained only cell clumps which is a sign of apoptosis i.e bad material quality of the donor cell sample. Therefore, it was not possible to acquire enough cells and analyse them.
260	Negative	Positive	Positive	Negative	Positive	Positive	Positive	Negative	17-Mar	17-Mar		
262	Negative	Positive	Negative	Negative	Positive	Negative	Negative	Negative	15-Mar	15-Mar	90	Cell clustering in the vial. Serum 4 is equivocal. Ratio was lower than 2 but shift was around 10%
271	Negative	Positive	Positive	NT	Positive	Positive	Positive	NT	21-Mar	21-Mar	93	Samples received 7 days later than normal. Clumped cells
293	Negative	Positive	Positive	Negative	Negative	Positive	Positive	Negative	16-Mar	16-Mar	70	
297	Negative	Positive	Positive	Equivocal	Positive	Positive	Positive	Equivocal	15-Mar	16-Mar		
341	Negative	Positive	Positive	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	Negative	15-Mar	16-Mar	97	
351	Negative	Positive	Negative	Negative	Positive	Positive	Positive	Negative	18-Mar	18-Mar	100	Answer of S4 is "Equivocal" on T and B cells because the T cell XM is weakly positive and the B cell XM is weakly negative.

UK NEQAS for H&I Scheme 2B - Crossmatching by Flow Cytometry

T-CELL FLOW CYTOMETRY RESULTS OF SAMPLE 2B04/2017 (COMPARED TO LOCAL NEGATIVE CONTROL)

DISPATCHED ON 14TH MARCH 2017

Lab No.	Serum 1 cytometer reading	Serum 2 cytometer reading	Serum 3 cytometer reading	Serum 4 cytometer reading	Negative control (local)	Positive control (local)	Strong positive (local)	Weak positive (local)	Positive result value	Cytometer reading units
9	0.77	2.92	2.25	1.52	1.32	38.40			>1.5 x Trimmed mean	Median x (log)
11	133,147	574,641	474,441	205,201	112, 124, 136, 134	434, 1627			151.8 1.2RMF	Median log channel
14	616	1345.5	1342.5	834	548	9605.5			1.5 x mean NEG	Median log channel
15	0.96	5.87	5.71	2.50	5.49	2.86			RMF >1.5	Median
19	0.174	1.043	0.871	0.272		8.709			Log Median X	Log Median X
20	0.349	2.245	1.892	0.496	0.314	1.095			1.48 x average negative control	Linear Channel Shift
24	110	567	444	175	119	1569,284			40 linear channel shift	Linear Channel Shift
25	50	304	224	102	56	2701			1.5 x negative	MFCN
28	69	127	130	84	43	684			RMF >1.3	Median log channel
35	1.03	3.43	3.02	1.59	1.07	5.96			>1.6-3: Equivocal, ≥3.1: Positive	MFI
38	3.5	231.6	220.1	103.1	0.0	358.4			mean of negative control +3SD	Median log channel
39	0.20	0.61	0.54	0.27	0.20	9.77			33	Linear Channel Shift
41	196	890	826	382	205	9436			>1.5 RMF	Median Log Fluorescence
42	0.97	3.10	2.24	1.54	1	49.96			RMF >1.6	RMF
45	171	321.5	298.5	252.5	180	656			>1.3	RMF
48	0.49	1.15	1	0.62	0.51	9.41			>20 MCV	Median Channel Value
51	5.05	12.49	15.12	6.35	4.97	128			>1.3 RMF	Median Log Channel
112	0.345	1.14	-	0.472	0.386	2.78			Ratio >1.5	Median Log Channel
115	247	427	470	325	264	340			>40	Median Linear Channel Shifts
117	3.27	7.3	7.43	3.8	3.28	56.23			Ratio (RMF) >1.3	NT
118	119	200	190	139	112	715			178(112+66)	Median Linear Channel
119	0.158	0.619	0.591	0.287	0.162	3.4			Ratio Pos >1.289	NT
120	1.03	2.44	-	1.37	63	5645.5			Ratio >1.6	Median
122	6	33	30	10	6		39	12	3SD	Geo mean linear values
126	168	439	450	304	151	625			>80 linear channel shift	Median Linear Channel
130	160	278	279	164	167	279			40	Mean Channel Shift
138	0.05	0.37	0.27	0.12	0	0.92			>0.2	D Value Kolmogorov-Smirnov Statistic
139	0.37	1.28	1.18	0.42	0.3	33			>1.5 of negative mean log channel	Mean log channel
143	1	22	29	5	4	15			>15 linear channel shift	Mean Channel Shift
144	1.11	2.12	2.30	1.47	1215	5.57			1.70	Median - Ration
145	0.452	1.76	1.76	0.775	0.561	69			2SD of the ratio	Median log channel
147	109	279	284	178	117	520			>40 linear channel shift	Linear channel
149	0.395	1.68	1.92	0.573	0.499	152			2SD of the ratio	Median log channel
154	68	431	437	171	69	259			Median >150% of control and shape of the curve	MFI
157	126	169	163	135	130	470			160 (2SD)	Median Linear Channel
159	146	279	267	193	140	428			40 Linear channel shift	Mean Fluorescence
160	8.0	50.7	40.3	14.1	9.8	260			>19.7 (Negative + 2SD)	Mean linear channel
167	132	1036	1104	408	143	11042			2SD	Median log channel
169	246	336	290	268	261	427			≥50	Median Channel
176	-0.09	0.85	0.85	0.33	0	1.04			0.4	MFI Shift
190	67	211	181	NT	66	567			Ratio sample/negative >1.5	Median log channel
191	13.5	143	144.4	18	0	441			>30	Delta MFI
193	4.9	34.51	34.2	12.56	4.62	145.94			NC mean x2.4	Geo Mean Linear Channel
194	0.4	1	1.2	0.7	0.45	12			Ratio >1.2	Median Channel Log
195	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT		NT	NT	NT	X-MEAN
201	0.525	0.969	1.08	0.645	0.478	29			S/NK ≥2.0	Geometric Mean Log Channel (Ratio)
209	84	568	497	-	86	370	NT	NT	1.5 (ratio)	NT
218	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT		NT	NT	6000 above the mean of the NC	Linear Acquisition, Linear Values
220	1274	16150	10798	3625	1685.5	116609			>2 of Neg median log channel	Median Log Channel
235	1	4.3	1.9	1	55.3				MESF serum/MESF negative control	NT
238	585	1617	1588	984	810	26717			1.2x NC	MFI
240	72	95	91	75	71	703			NT	NT
246	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT		NT	NT	Median ratio ±2SD	MFI
252	1.1	3.55	2.05	1.05	71.69	649.38			2 X Negative control MFI	Median Channel Log
260	142.39	462.16	372.81	217.49	303.10	5127.39			>2 neg	Median Log Channel
262	415	1143	817	745	466	17790			64	Channel Shift
271	127	260	-	152	136	427			1.3x MFI Tneg	MFI
293	0.647	2.36	2.04	1.03	0.76	57.8			3SD	Geo mean linear values
297	29	360	374	128	38	206			NC +500	MFI
341	3.47	6.28	5.91	3.88	3.62	20.66				
351	224	442	361	240	281	12158				

UK NEQAS for H&I Scheme 2B - Crossmatching by Flow Cytometry

B-CELL FLOW CYTOMETRY RESULTS OF SAMPLE 2B04/2017 (COMPARED TO LOCAL NEGATIVE CONTROL)

DISPATCHED ON 14TH MARCH 2017

Lab No.	Serum 1 cytometer reading	Serum 2 cytometer reading	Serum 3 cytometer reading	Serum 4 cytometer reading	Negative control (local)	Positive control (local)	Strong positive (local)	Weak positive (local)	Positive result value	Cytometer reading units
11	6608, 7906	5518, 6076	14057, 13813	2530, 2513	3291, 2711, 2174, 2625	19104, 41043			3240 1.2RMH	Median log channel
14	8031	5802	11300	2673.5	548	9605.5			1.5 x mean NEG	Median log channel
15	5.49	2.86	5.09	1.28	1882.5	44367.5			RMF >1.5	Median
19	10.520	9.402	18.228	3.682		48.976				Log Median X
20	24.12	15.46	25.797	3.64	1.983	7.114			1.88 x average negative control	Linear Channel Shift
24	834	2540	3070	444	384	9252,793			40 linear channel shift	MFCN
25	2558	2222	"700	810	651	18833			2 x negative	Median log channel
28	621	388	703	146	82	825			RMF >1.3	MFI
38	149.2	85.2	75.9	18.9	0.0	259.8			>2.0-3.5: Equivocal, >3.6: Positive	Linear Channel Shift
39	4.53	3.78	9.49	1.02	0.53	19.40			40	Median Log Fluorescence
41	4475	3332	4432	903	813	14593			>1.5 RMF	RMF
42	4.72	4.62	4.21	1.25	1	49.96			RMF >1.6	RMF
48	5.1	4.38	6.05	1.39	1.41	15.35			>1.3	Median Log Channel
51	72.1	41.6	30.23	10.6	8.14	203.5			>1.3 RMF	Median Log Channel
112	4.17	2.71	-	0.551	0.604	8.95			Ratio >2.0	Median Log Channel
115	704	628	635	540	535	759			>60	Median Linear Channel Shifts
117	27.18	36.03	25.95	11.37	11.45	173.5			Ratio (RMF) >1.5	NT
118	159	159	160	159	141	429			166.5(141+25.5)	Median Linear Channel
119	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT
120	3.93	2.12	-	1.16	545	8681.5			Ratio >2.0	Median
122	293.5	203	237.5	64.5	70		205	74	3SD	Geo mean linear values
126	603	562	504	382	348	834			>120 linear channel shift	Median Linear Channel
130	524	421	484	317	280	495			80	Mean Channel Shift
139	33	10.8	10.3	1.77	7.41	142			>2 of negative mean log channel	Mean log channel
143	-	-	-	-	-	-			-	Mean Channel Shift
144	5.28	3.01	3.74	1.38	4113	5.36			2.50	Median - Ration
145	6.77	6.19	4.64	3.04	3.21	10.5			2SD of the ratio	Median log channel
147	347	498	490	365	248	645			>60 linear channel shift	Linear channel
149	21.8	11.9	13.9	7.08	7.21	176			2SD of the ratio	Median log channel
154	3454	2653	2837	949	973	18502			Median >250% of control and shape of the curve	MFI
157	202	227	248	162	159	315			201 (2SD)	Median Linear Channel
159	449	447	459	330	265	602			100 linear channel shift	Mean Fluorescence
160	571	300	700	99	77	764			>173 (Negative + 2SD)	Mean linear channel
167	132	1036	1104	408	2161	66992			2SD	Median log channel
169	462	508	437	383	427	733			≥80	Median Channel
176	0.76	0.59	0.49	-	0	0.66			0.22	MFI Shift
190	592	532	423	NT	192	726			Ration sample/Negative >2.5	Median log channel
191	145	173	-24	1.2	0				370	Delta MFI
193	451.68	239.81	170.42	107.8	87.57	381.35			NC mean x1.9	Geo Mean Linear Channel
194	18.5	19	8.4	5.5	3.5	80			Ratio >4	Median Channel Log
195	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT
201	16.6	7.05	7.5	3.69	3.2	71.7			S/NK ≥2.5	X-MEAN
209	3968	2455	1745	871	806	1697			1.7 (ratio)	Geometric Mean Log Channel (Ratio)
218	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT
220	25336	27725	9884	3600	3836.75	146618			6000 above the mean of the NC	Linear Acquisition, Linear Values
235	-	37.9	-	8.35	8.13	1669.77			>18 of Neg median log control	Median Log Channel
238	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT
240	1174	1682	2502	1089	788	3501			1.4 xNC	MFI
246	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT
252	3.11	2.89	1.91	0.99	171.54	973.38			Median ratio ±2SD	
260	1285.71	2037.00	1978.39	1053.76	1285.71	7838.37			2 X negative control MFI	MFI
262	3609	4282	3748	1691	1031	45338			>2 neg	Median Channel Log
271	391	354	-	195	211	720			90	Median Log Channel
293	2.37	13.1	12.1	2.73	2.35	70.3			20 channel shift	Channel Shift
297	3338	2129	2573	873	726	1369			1.5 x MFI Tneg	MFI
341	21.61	19.57	22.07	8.32	18.83	247.48			3SD	Geo mean linear values
351	2186	1702	1055	671	1234	21118			NC +2500	MFI

NEQ-117

Issue 2

P 3 of 3

Effective Date 10/10/16